|  |                      | Application No.                                  |                        | Applicant(s)                   |               |
|--|----------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | n,                   | 10/810,548                                       |                        | UITENBROEK,                    | PAUL          |
|  | ' y                  | Examiner   |                        | Art Unit                       |               |
|  |                      | Erick R. Solis                                   |                        | 3747                           |               |
| All Participants:  |                      | Status of Applicat                               | ion:                   | —                              |               |
| (1) Erick R. Solis.  |                      | (3)  |                        |                                |               |
| (2) Robert W. Becker.  |                      | (4)  |                        |                                |               |
| Date of Interview: 19 July 2007  | ,                    | Time:  | -                      |                                |               |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:  |                      | nt's representative)                             |                        |                                |               |
| Part I.  |                      |  |                        |                                |               |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NA   |                      |  |                        | ·                              |               |
| Claims discussed:<br>NA  |                      |  |                        |                                |               |
| Prior art documents discussed: NA  |                      |  |                        |                                |               |
| Part II.   |                      |  |                        |                                |               |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet   | GENER                | RAL NATURE OF WH                                 | AT WAS                 | DISCUSSED:                     |               |
| Part III.  |                      |  |                        |                                |               |
| <ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicate of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sediment of the interview is not necessary for applicant to provide a sediment.</li> </ul> | ion. The<br>parate r | e examiner will provide<br>ecord of the substanc | e a writte<br>e of the | en summary of interview, since | the substance |
|  |                      |  |                        |                                |               |
|  |                      | •  |                        |                                |               |
|  |                      |  |                        |                                |               |
|  |                      |  | ٠                      |                                |               |
|  |                      | ·  |                        |                                |               |
| (Family 100F 0)  |                      | /A = 1 = = 1 = 5 = = = = = = = = = = = = =       | Amalius C'             |                                | van sieta\    |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (A  | ppiicant             | /Applicant's Represen                            | tative Sig             | gnature – it app               | порпате)      |

Application No. 10/810,548

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed applicant's representative of certain procedural deficiencies regarding foreign priority claims. Specifically that the reissue application incorrectly claimed foreign priority to a PCT application of which this is a national stage application. Applicant's representative agreed to submit a new declaration correcting the deficiencies, the examiner indicated he would correct the specification by an examiner's amendment.